

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

MEDFORD DIVISION

JOSHUA SHANE MARSING,

Petitioner,

No. 1:07-cv-705-CL

v.

BRIAN BELLEQUE,

Respondent.

ORDER

PANNER, District Judge:

Magistrate Judge Mark D. Clarke filed a Report and Recommendation, and the matter is now before this court. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B), Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When either party objects to any portion of a Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, the district court makes a de novo determination of that portion of the Magistrate Judge's report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Bus. Mach., Inc., 656 F. 2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981).


Here, Petitioner objects to the Report and Recommendation, so I have reviewed this matter de novo. I agree with the Report and Recommendation's conclusion that the Amended Petition should be denied.

CONCLUSION

Magistrate Judge Clarke's Report and Recommendation (#135) is adopted. The Amended Petition (#21) is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 21 day of November, 2014.

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Owen M. Panner", written over a horizontal line.

OWEN M. PANNER
U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE